



# Improving the QBO through surrogate-accelerated parameter optimization and vertical grid modification

**Jim Benedict, Xiaoming Sun, Sara Calandrini**

**Walter Hannah, Chris Golaz, Wandi Yu**

**Mike Eldred, Bert Debusschere, Khachik Sargsyan, Luis Damiano**

**Chih-Chieh Jack Chen, Yaga Richter**

3 August 2023 — E3SM All-Hands webinar

LA-UR 23-26859



Managed by Triad National Security, LLC., for the U.S. Department of Energy's NNSA



Funding: DOE Office of Science's Scientific Discovery through Advanced Computing (SciDAC) program, jointly funded by the Biological and Environmental Research program (BER) and the Advanced Scientific Computing Research (ASCR)

# Personnel

\*\* Project lead  
\* Institutional lead  
Senior scientist  
Postdoc



 Lawrence Livermore  
National Laboratory

Walter Hannah\*



Chris Golaz

Wandi Yu

 Los Alamos  
NATIONAL LABORATORY



Jim Benedict\*\*  
Xiaoming Sun  
Sara Calandrini



**NCAR**  
**CGD**  
Jack Chih-Chieh Chen\*  
Yaga Richter  
TBD postdoc




 Sandia  
National  
Laboratories

Mike Eldred\*  
Bert Debusschere  
Khachik Sargsyan  
Luis Damiano

# Science background

Observed (ERA-I) equatorial zonal winds



## What is the QBO?

- Mode of variability in tropical stratosphere
- Identified by alternating phases of equatorial zonal mean easterly and westerly winds (period ~28 months)
- Wind extrema and shear zones migrate downward
- Driven primarily by momentum deposition from convection-generated gravity waves
- QBO impacts extratropical weather, tropical convective variability, and stratospheric tracers

## Science background

**Accurate QBO representation in global climate models remains challenging.**



**Sufficient vertical resolution**, especially near the tropopause and lower stratosphere, is required to capture vertical wave propagation, wave breaking, and momentum deposition that drives the QBO.



**Tropical synoptic atmospheric waves** must be adequately represented.






**Convectively generated gravity waves** must be adequately represented.



15 of 30 CMIP6 models examined produce a QBO, but those that do fail to accurately capture QBO amplitude. **E3SMv2 and development E3SMv3 fail to produce a reasonable QBO.**


# Statement of the problem

Accurate representation of QBO amplitude and period in E3SM remains elusive.




$\text{effgw}=0.4, \text{ CF}=20$   
Richter et al., 2019

$\text{effgw}=0.35, \text{ CF}=12.5$



$\text{effgw}=0.1, \text{ CF}=12.5$   
Golaz et al., 2022


- E3SMv2 tuning late in the development cycle could not achieve a similar QBO to E3SMv1\_MODGW
- Updates to v2 deep convection scheme (dCAPE-ULL trigger) may have contributed to QBO changes



Zonal mean zonal wind @ Equator (m/s)

# Task 1: Manual tuning of QBO in E3SMv3


In development E3SMv3, convection has improved but the QBO remains problematic.



- Mesoscale Coherent Structure Parameterization (MCSP; Chen et al. 2021, 2023) in development E3SMv3, along with microphysics changes, has improved subseasonal tropical convection
- The E3SMv3-dev QBO remains weak
- “Manual” QBO tuning in E3SMv3-dev is much less successful than in previous development cycles
- Adequate representation of tropical convection is a necessary but not sufficient condition for an acceptable QBO
- Suggests that vertical grid resolution and gravity wave parameterization may be “weak links”

## Task 2: Initial model calibration, leveraging manual tuning results

Manual QBO tuning is challenging; surrogate-accelerated parameter optimization can help.




- An initial batch of 20+ “manually-tuned” QBO parameter sets was attempted, with limited success
- Surrogate-based calibration studies are ongoing to more fully explore parameter space
- Focus is on...
  - effgw\_beres**: efficiency with which convection generates gravity waves
  - gw\_convect\_hcf**: ratio of convective cells within a model grid cell
  - hdepth**: scaling factor to adjust the heating depth predicted by deep convection

## Task 3: Surrogate modeling for UQ analysis, parameter optimization

Developed workflows demonstrate dimensionality reduction, surrogate construction, and Bayesian inference on test data set.

- A surrogate modeling capability is being developed for mapping climate parameter inputs into QBO “quantities of interest” (e.g. period, amplitude), enabling forward or inverse UQ analysis based on E3SM simulations





## Task 4: QBO sensitivity to vertical grid

E3SMv2 tests suggest QBO improves with targeted vertical grid smoothing or added levels.

### L72-smth

- Abrupt coarsening of vertical grid resolution in default L72 is smoothed
- With smoothing: Modest improvement in QBO amplitude and period



### L80

- Free-tropospheric vertical grid resolution is extended further into lower stratosphere; 5-6% added cost\*
- QBO amplitude is dramatically improved, period not yet correct

# An 80-level configuration of E3SMv3


## Experiment details:

- Compare **v3alpha02-hist** with 72 levels vs. 80 levels
- L80: 8 layers added to lower stratosphere only
- All other parameter values identical
- Timing/cost: ~5-6% slower\*
- Full E3SM diagnostics output:

[20230629.v3alpha02.amip.chrysalis.L72](#)

[20230629.v3alpha02.amip.chrysalis.L80](#)


[Model-model difference](#)




# More on 80-level configuration of E3SMv3

Adding 8 layers to the lower stratosphere dramatically improves QBO characteristics in E3SMv3.

v3alpha02, L72







v3alpha02, L80







# More on 80-level configuration of E3SMv3

Early assessment of L72 vs. L80 tropospheric climate shows no degradation with L80.





L80



L72




Diff




## More on 80-level configuration of E3SMv3

L80-L72 tropospheric climate differences are negligible compared to L72-observations differences.




# More on 80-level configuration of E3SMv3

Early assessment of stratosphere between L72 and L80 shows some differences, as expected.



Stratospheric sulfur burden




## More on 80-level configuration of E3SMv3

Other notes:


- MJO is slightly weaker, as expected given L80's preference for QBO westerly phase
- Have not yet examined diurnal cycle
- Have not yet examined other modes of variability

Lag correlation: PRECT & U850 with MJO index


L72




L80




# Summary and future work



Despite improved tropical convective variability in E3SMv3 (L72), the QBO remains weak



Targeted addition of 8 levels in lower stratosphere (and/or grid smoothing) improves QBO at modest cost



Manual QBO tuning for E3SMv3 (L72) had limited success. Surrogate-accelerated parameter optimization is a more objective, efficient, and informative approach.




Next: More evaluation of E3SMv3 L80, begin using surrogate-E3SM interfacing to optimize QBO in L80


# Supplemental figures

Low Antarctic PSL difference pattern is reduced in L80, but U850 is mostly unchanged.

L72–ERA5



L80–L72




## Supplemental figures


- L80 reduces polar surface temperature differences compared to ERA5
- Some reduction in difference also seen in Arctic region

L72–ERA5


Annual mean TREFHT




L80–L72





# Supplemental figures



# Supplemental figures



# Supplemental figures



# Model physics changes, E3SMv1 → E3SMv2

- Structural changes to ZM deep convection scheme (“dCAPE-ULL trigger”)
  - Dynamic CAPE (dCAPE): *CAPE generation driven by empirical large-scale parameterization of the dynamical triggering processes, including large-scale upward motion and warm and moist advection in the low levels – addresses too frequent, too light precipitation problem by reducing strong surface heating control on model convective initiation*
  - Unrestricted Launch Level (ULL): *Removes the constraint that convection always has its root within the boundary layer as often assumed in deep convection schemes -- improves precipitation diurnal cycle*
- Significant tuning of CLUBB: 23 input parameters values changed
- Moderate tuning of ZM: 5 input parameter values changed
- Moderate tuning of MG2: 4 input parameter values changed
- Additional changes to nucleate (`so4_sz_thresh_icenuc`), microp/aero (`microp_aero_wsubmin`), aerosol (`seasalt_emis_scale`), dust (`dus_emis_fact`), linoz (`linoz_psc_t`), gravity wave drag (`gw_convect_hcf`, `effgw_beres`, and `effgw_oro`)